Effect of dynamic plastic deformation on microstructure and annealing behaviour of modified 9Cr–1Mo steel
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The effect of dynamic plastic deformation on the microstructure of a modified 9Cr–1Mo steel has been investigated in comparison with the effect of quasi-static compression. It is found that the boundary spacing after dynamic plastic deformation is smaller and the hardness is higher than those after quasi-static compression. The microstructure after dynamic plastic deformation is however less stable than the microstructure after quasi-static compression. Annealing at 675 and 700°C leads to structural coarsening and recrystallisation in each sample, but with recrystallisation occurring faster in the sample annealed after dynamic plastic deformation. The lower thermal stability of the microstructure produced by dynamic plastic deformation is attributed to a higher driving force for recrystallisation in the dynamically deformed material.

Keywords: Ferritic/martensitic steel, Dynamic plastic deformation, Quasi-static compression, Annealing

Introduction

Ferritic/martensitic steels containing 9 to 12 wt-%Cr are used as structural materials for power plant steam generators,1,2 and are also considered to be candidate structural materials for advanced fission and fusion reactors.3,4 Such materials must be resistant to irradiation-induced swelling and retain high strength at elevated temperatures. It has been reported that improved irradiation tolerance may be achieved in materials with well refined microstructures.5,6 Since materials with well refined microstructures are also characterised by high strength,7 a study of structural refinement in steels is of significant interest. One way to effectively refine the microstructure is by plastic deformation. It has, for example, been shown that significant structural refinement can be achieved in a low-carbon martensitic steel by cold rolling to a reduction of only 50%.8,9 with the initial martensitic structure being transformed during rolling into a typical dislocation cell-block structure.10,11 It is expected that plastic deformation at higher strain rates than those applied during conventional rolling can refine the microstructure to an even greater extent.12 An example of such a high strain rate process is dynamic plastic deformation (DPD), where a hammer falls from a certain height and impacts a specimen, which becomes compressed at strain rates of about $10^2$ to $10^3$ s$^{-1}$.13,14 For Ni and Al, it has been reported that DPD was more effective in refining the microstructure than deformation at lower strain rates and that this refinement was accompanied by a high frequency of dislocation boundaries with low misorientation angles across them.15,16

As a result of the differences between deformed microstructures produced at different strain rates, the annealing behaviour of DPD processed materials may also be different from that observed during annealing of materials deformed at conventional strain rates. Therefore, in the present work, we focus on studying changes in the microstructure, texture and hardness of a 9Cr–1Mo steel both during DPD and subsequent annealing. Furthermore, to evaluate the effect of the strain rate, the data obtained for a DPD sample are compared with those in a sample produced by quasi-static deformation (QSC). To enable a detailed analysis of structural parameters both transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) techniques are used in this work.

Experimental

The chemical composition of the modified 9Cr–1Mo steel (X10CrMoVNb9–1) determined by glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy is shown in Table 1. The material was supplied by Remystahl GmbH & Co. KG in the form of a hot-extruded rod, normalised at 1040°C for 1.5 h, tempered at 770°C for 5 h and finally stress relieved at 740°C. Cylindrical specimens with the cylinder axis along the extrusion direction (ED) of the as-received rod, and a size of 9 mm in diameter and 12 mm in height, were machined for QSC and DPD. The strain rates applied were $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ for QSC and
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$10^2 - 10^3$ s$^{-1}$ for DPD. Each sample was compressed 40% at room temperature. The deformed samples were annealed at either 675 or 700°C for 1 h. These heat-treatments were selected (based on Vickers hardness measurements) from a preliminary study covering a broad range of annealing treatments to obtain conditions representing different stages of recrystallisation.

The microstructure was investigated in a section that contained the ED for the as received sample, and the compression axis for the DPD and QSC samples. In the present work, both ED and compression axis are collectively termed the normal direction (ND). For each sample, the central part was inspected by both EBSD and TEM. Local orientations were measured in a Zeiss Supra 35 field emission gun scanning electron microscope equipped with the Channel 5 EBSD system from HKL Technology. A step size of 50 nm was chosen for characterising the deformed microstructure using EBSD. Larger step sizes (0-1 or 0-2 μm) were used for texture analysis and for microstructural characterisation of the annealed samples. For each sample, microstructural parameters were measured in several maps covering a total area of 3000–4000 μm$^2$ in the deformed conditions and 20 000–100 000 μm$^2$ in the as received material and annealed conditions. For texture analysis the area covered was at least 1-0 mm$^2$ in each sample. The EBSD indexing rate was about 83% for the deformed samples. For the other samples, the EBSD indexing rate varied between 88 and 96%. During post-processing of the orientation maps, pixels with non-indexed orientations were assigned orientations of neighbouring pixels using the standard filtering procedure available in the Channel 5 software.

Boundaries in the orientation maps were identified based on the misorientation between adjacent orientations: high angle boundaries (HABs) were defined as those with misorientation angles above 15°, whereas boundaries with misorientation angles between 2 and 15° were defined as low angle boundaries (LABs). Martensite block boundaries (MBBs) were identified as follows: from the 24 possible variants derived from the Kurdjumov–Sachs relationship, only the orientation relationships (ORs) between martensite blocks in the same packet, i.e. having the same habit plane, and having high misorientation angles were considered, namely $60\langle111\rangle$, $60\langle110\rangle$, and $49.5\langle110\rangle$. Boundaries with misorientations deviating by less than 5° from these particular ORs were classified as MBBs. Average boundary spacings $d$ for different boundary types were obtained by the linear intercept method. In this work, we report the boundary spacings only along the ND because for all the deformed and annealed samples the average boundary spacing along the orthogonal direction was proportional to the spacing along the ND by a factor of 1-5-1-7. Only for the as received material was this ratio different with a value of 1-1. For identification of recrystallised grains in the EBSD data, the following approach was used: an individual region was considered recrystallised if all misorientations within this region were less than 2°, its area was larger than 7 μm$^2$ and the region was at least partially surrounded by HABs. TEM images were taken using a JEOL 2000 FX microscope, and selected area diffraction patterns were analysed to determine types of particles present in the microstructure. Vickers hardness tests were performed in the centre of the samples using a load of 1 kg with a dwell time of 10 s.

### Results

#### As received material

A tempered martensite structure is observed in the as received material (see Fig. 1), in which M$_{23}$C$_6$ particles are mainly located at prior austenite grain boundaries and martensitic boundaries. A misorientation map obtained by EBSD from this material is shown in Fig. 2a, where LABs, HABs and MBBs are shown by bold and thin lines, respectively. ND is horizontal.

#### Microstructure of as received material: a bright field TEM image (arrows indicate some of carbides present); and b schematic illustration of region shown in a with martensite block boundaries and cell boundaries shown by bold and thin lines, respectively. ND is horizontal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Chemical composition (wt-%) of modified 9Cr–1Mo steel as determined by glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Misorientation angles above 40°, which, along with the LABs developed during tempering of martensite, result in a bimodal distribution of misorientation angles (see Fig. 3a). The average spacing between MBBs \(d_{\text{MBB}}\) along the ND in this as received microstructure is 7.5 μm. Taking into account that the average HAB spacing \(d_{\text{HAB}}\) measured along the ND is 2.4 μm and that the average spacing between all boundaries, \(d_{(0<2)}\), identified from the EBSD data is 1.1 μm (see Table 2), at least 32% of the HABs and 15% of all boundaries are considered to be MBBs. The boundary spacing determined between only LABs \(d_{\text{LAB}}\) is 2 μm. The as received sample contains a weak \(<110\>\) fibre texture along the ND (Fig. 4a). The hardness of this sample is 205 ± 2 HV1.
Deformed samples

During compression grains flatten, the dislocation density increases and new cell boundaries are produced. Therefore, the boundary spacings decrease significantly compared to those in the as received condition and the frequency of LABs becomes higher (Fig. 2b). After DPD the spacing $d_{(002)}$ decreases from 1.1 µm to only 210 nm. The value of 210 nm is slightly above the spacing measured between all boundaries observed in TEM images, 190 nm (see Fig. 5a). Significant reductions are also observed for $d_{\text{LAB}}$ and $d_{\text{HAB}}$ (see Table 2). In contrast, $d_{\text{MBB}}$ becomes greater after deformation as the frequency of MBBs in the compressed samples becomes very low (2% after DPD and 3% after QSC).

Compared to the DPD sample, the microstructure of the QSC sample is less refined. The boundary spacings $d_{(002)}$ and $d$ measured using either EBSD (Fig. 2c) or TEM (see Fig. 5b) for the QSC sample are 290 and 240 nm, respectively, which are larger than the corresponding values for the DPD sample. The values of $d_{\text{LAB}}$, $d_{\text{HAB}}$ and $d_{\text{MBB}}$ for the QSC sample are also appreciably larger than those recorded for the DPD sample (see Table 2).

The misorientation angle distributions for the DPD and QSC samples are generally similar (see Fig. 3b and c), though the LAB fraction is slightly higher in the DPD sample (77%) than in the QSC sample (71%). In contrast to the as received condition, the frequency of misorientation angles above 40° is very low in the compressed samples (see Fig. 3). A duplex $\langle 111 \rangle + \langle 100 \rangle$ texture is observed in both deformed samples (see Fig. 4) being slightly stronger in the DPD sample. In addition, the DPD sample is about 12% harder than the QSC sample (see Table 2).

Annealed samples

Annealing at 675°C for 1 h results in coarsening of the deformed microstructure and in the onset of recrystallisation (Fig. 6). The $d_{(002)}$ increases to approximately 0.4 µm. This value, however, also includes a contribution from recrystallised grains, which after this annealing treatment occupy an area fraction $(f_{\text{RX}})$ of 2 and 8% in the QSC and DPD samples, respectively. After annealing at 700°C for 1 h, $f_{\text{RX}}$ is still rather small (6%) in the QSC sample, whereas the DPD sample is almost fully (95%) recrystallised (see Fig. 7 and Table 2).

To obtain information on just the non-recrystallised (recovered) regions of the annealed samples, spacings between LABs were calculated excluding the contribution of the recrystallised regions. The resulting parameter
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4 Inverse pole figures showing crystallographic texture: a as received material; b DPD sample; c QSC sample; d DPD sample after annealing at 700°C for 1 h; and e QSC sample after annealing at 700°C for 1 h. Numbers represent maximum intensity for different texture components. Contour lines are given at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 times random
LAB is inversely proportional to the surface area density $S_V$ of LABs in the recovered regions, and an increase in $d^*_{LAB}$ thus characters the elimination of LABs during recovery of the deformed material. After annealing at 675°C for 1 h, $d^*_{LAB}$ is larger than $d_{LAB}$ in the deformed microstructure by 60 and 130% for the QSC and DPD samples, respectively. This indicates that recovery is more pronounced in the DPD sample. Nevertheless, the recovered microstructure in the DPD sample is still more refined than that in the QSC sample (see $d^*_{LAB}$ in Table 2). The difference between the samples is much greater in the material annealed at 700°C for 1 h, where the ratios between $d^*_{LAB}$ and $d_{LAB}$ in the deformed microstructure are almost 5 and 2 after DPD and QSC, respectively. It should however be noted that only a very small area (5%), classified as recovered, contributed to $d^*_{LAB}$ for the DPD sample annealed at 700°C. Therefore, statistics for this value in the latter condition are not as good as for the other annealed samples.

Considering the fraction of HABs, it is apparent that $f_{HAB}$ differs very little for both annealed conditions of the QSC sample, but increases significantly with increasing annealing temperature in the DPD sample (see Table 2). Whereas annealing at 675°C for 1 h does not result in appreciable changes in crystallographic textures, in the samples annealed at 700°C the (100) texture weakens considerably, especially in the annealed DPD sample (see Fig. 4c).

As expected, the annealing treatments soften both deformed samples. However, in contrast to the deformed conditions, where the DPD sample was harder than the QSC sample, the annealed DPD sample is softer than the annealed QSC sample, particularly after annealing at 700°C for 1 h (see Table 2).

### Discussion

Modified 9Cr–1Mo steel compressed 40% via either DPD or QSC is found to develop duplex (111) + (100) fibre textures similar to those reported for other bcc materials deformed by compression, and contains a well-refined microstructure due to subdivision by dislocation boundaries. The deformation is also found to result in a reduction in the frequency of MBBs as compared to that in the as received condition. Whereas 15% of all boundaries (almost one-third of all HABs) in the as received condition were identified as MBBs, the MBB fraction becomes negligibly small after deformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>$d_{(0-2y)}/\mu$m</th>
<th>$d_{LAB}/\mu$m</th>
<th>$d^*_{LAB}/\mu$m</th>
<th>$d_{HAB}/\mu$m</th>
<th>$d_{MBB}/\mu$m</th>
<th>$d^*_{MBB}/\mu$m</th>
<th>$f_{HAB}/%$</th>
<th>$f_{RX}/%$</th>
<th>HV1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As received</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>205 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPD</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>304 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSC</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>271 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPD + 675°C/1 h</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>231 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSC + 675°C/1 h</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>243 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPD + 700°C/1 h</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>164 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSC + 700°C/1 h</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>229 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 TEM images of deformed microstructure: a DPD sample and b QSC sample. ND is approximately horizontal.
Apparently, orientation changes induced by compression destroy to a large extent the ORs existing in the as received condition, which results in a further decrease in the frequency of MBBs both in the DPD and QSC samples. Recovery causes further loss of the three investigated ORs and a slight increase in the MBB spacing (cf. $d_{MBB}$ in the deformed samples and $d_{*MBB}$ in Table 2, calculated excluding the recrystallised regions by analogy with $d_{*LAB}$). Very few boundaries with the selected ORs remain after extensive recrystallisation at 700°C in the DPD sample (see Fig. 7a and Table 2).

An important result of the present work is the observation that the strain rate has a considerable effect on the microstructural parameters of the given steel. The microstructure of the DPD sample is more refined by dislocation boundaries, and this greater refinement makes the DPD sample harder than the QSC sample. This result obtained on the bcc material is in conflict with previous findings in pure iron, for which the greater refinement after DPD was rationalised based on a higher density of dislocations stored after high strain rate deformation. Such a higher dislocation density results in a higher frequency of dislocation boundaries and in finer boundary spacing than those in the QSC material. Similarly, a larger amount of stored dislocations can explain the greater refinement observed in the DPD processed steel investigated here, as compared to the QSC counterpart.

Comparing the average boundary spacings in the deformed microstructure analysed using either TEM or EBSD, it is found that the values obtained by EBSD are 10–20% greater than those obtained by TEM. Apparently, this difference is due to the fact that misorientation angles less than 2° were ignored in the EBSD data. Although the subgrain size, mean misorientation angle and fraction of HABs in the deformed microstructure are typically overestimated by EBSD.

![Misorientation maps obtained by EBSD from samples annealed at 675°C for 1 h: a DPD sample and b QSC sample. Thin grey and bold dark lines show LABs (2–15°) and HABs (>15°), respectively. Boundaries with either 60°(111), 60°(110), or 49.5°(110) misorientation are shown in red. Recrystallised grains are shown in yellow. ND is horizontal. (For interpretation of the references to color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article)](image1)

![Misorientation maps obtained by EBSD from samples annealed at 700°C for 1 h: a DPD sample and b QSC sample. Thin grey and bold dark lines show LABs (2–15°) and HABs (>15°), respectively. Boundaries with either 60°(111), 60°(110), or 49.5°(110) misorientation are shown in red. Recrystallised grains are shown in yellow. ND is horizontal. (For interpretation of the references to color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article)](image2)
the EBSD data collected in the present experiment nevertheless correctly capture the principal difference between the samples. It is important that both the TEM and EBSD data consistently demonstrate that the boundary spacing is finer in the DPD sample. This finer spacing explains the higher hardness of the DPD sample, and implies — as the surface area density of boundaries is higher — that the energy stored during high strain rate deformation is greater than that after QSC.

The difference in the stored energy between the two deformed samples can explain the differences observed in their annealing behaviour. It is obvious that a deformed material with a higher stored energy is more prone to recrystallisation than a sample with less stored energy. It is therefore not surprising that the area fraction of recrystallised grains after each heat treatment applied in this work is greater for the samples annealed after DPD (Fig. 6, Fig. 7 and Table 2). The difference in the recrystallisation kinetics is significant after annealing at 675°C for 1 h and becomes very large when the annealing temperature is increased to 700°C. It is suggested that the strong dependence of the annealing behaviour on the strain rate applied during processing of the modified 9Cr—1Mo steel should be taken into account when designing thermomechanical treatments for this material.

Conclusions

1. The strain rate has a significant effect on the structural refinement of a modified 9Cr—1Mo steel (X10CrMoVNb9—1) compressed 40%. Compared to deformation at a low strain rate, dynamic plastic deformation generates a higher frequency of low angle boundaries and a smaller boundary spacing. The sample after dynamic plastic deformation is 12% harder than the sample after quasi-static compression.

2. During annealing at 675°C for 1 h the microstructure in both samples coarsens, and recrystallised grains appear. The area fraction of the recrystallised material is 8% in the sample annealed after dynamic plastic deformation and 2% in the sample annealed after quasi-static compression. Annealing at 675°C for 1 h does not appreciably change the fraction of high angle boundaries in the sample produced by quasi-static compression, while in the sample produced by dynamic plastic deformation the fraction of high angle boundaries significantly increases during annealing.

3. The difference between the two samples becomes very significant after annealing at 700°C for 1 h. After this annealing treatment, recrystallised grains occupy 95% of the area in the sample produced by dynamic plastic deformation, whereas the area fraction of the recrystallised material is only 6% in the sample annealed after quasi-static compression. This greater fraction in the sample annealed after dynamic plastic deformation is attributed to a higher driving force for recrystallisation due to a finer spacing between dislocation boundaries in the deformed microstructure.
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